



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/632,099

08/01/2003

Richard O. Chen

27763-705.501

1917

21971

7590

08/12/2009

WILSON, SONSINI, GOODRICH & ROSATI  
650 PAGE MILL ROAD  
PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1050

EXAMINER

RIGGS II, LARRY D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1631

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/12/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/632,099	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CHEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> LARRY D. RIGGS II	<b>Art Unit</b> 1631	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LARRY D. RIGGS II. (3) Paul Borchardt.

(2) Majorie Moran. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: Proposed amended claims.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-5,7-9,13,14 and 62-78.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hughes et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed the merits of the invention in respect to the proposed amended claims. The current rejection under 35 U.S.C. §101 was discussed and SPE Moran determined that the proposed amended claims would overcome that current rejection. Hughes et al. was discussed and Examiner Riggs indicated that further search and consideration was needed in light of the proposed amended claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Larry Riggs/ Examiner, Art Unit 1631	
--	--